### PUBLIC MEETING DRAFT INTEGRATED FEASIBILITY STUDY WITH ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Amite River and Tributaries - East of the Mississippi River, LA Feasibility Study

Mississippi Valley Division/New Orleans District/Regional Planning and Environmental Division South

Non Federal Sponsor - Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development

December 17, 2019 Denham Springs December 18, 2019 Clinton









# **MEETING AGENDA**

- 1. Bottom Line Up Front (TSP)
- 2. Project Background
- 3. Alternatives Considered
- 4. Tentatively Selected Plan
- 5. Project Schedule
- 6. Public Comment Period
- 7. Frequently Asked Questions
- 8. Questions and Comments



2

H.w.H

# **Preliminary Planning Product**



### -0.04 AEP (25 YEAR) DRY DARLINGTON DAM -NONSTRUCTURAL COMPONENT WITHIN THE 0.04 AEP (25 YEAR) FLOODPLAIN

- Structural and Nonstructural Plans will be optimized during Feasibility Level Design

- Total Project Cost is \$2.3 Billion -
- Benefit Cost Ratio is 1.23

#### Legend

- Structures within the 25 Year floodplain with residual risk
- Darlington Dam
- 25 Year Flood Pool (173)\*
- Probable Max. Flood (195.3)\*
- 25 Year Floodplain
- **Densely Populated Places**
- Parish Boundaries
  - Amite Study Area 20190125
  - \*Based on the 1997 report





# **STUDY AUTHORITY**



House Document 419, 84th Congress (14 April 1967):

"Resolved by the Committee on Public Works of the United States Senate, That the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, created under Section 3 of the River and Harbor Act approved June 13, 1902, be, and is hereby requested to review the report of the chief of Engineers on Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, published as House Document Numbered 419, Eighty-fourth Congress. And other pertinent reports, with a view to determining whether the existing project should be modified in any way at this time with particular reference to additional improvements for flood control and related purposes on Amite River, Bayou Manchac, and Comite River and their tributaries."

Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018

- (Public Law 115-123), Division B, Subdivision 1, H. R. 1892—13, Title IV, Corps of Engineers-Civil, Department of the Army, Investigations
- Limits scope to the flood risk management

### 3X3X3 Study

### 100% Federally Funded





### Non-Federal Sponsor The Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development

- Support for engineering and stakeholder management
- Key stakeholder and sponsor on other non USACE collaborations in the project area
- Provided the Amite River Basin Numerical Model

### **Governmental Stakeholders**

- Tribes
- Natural Resource Agencies
- State of Louisiana and State Agencies
- Parishes
- City Officials



# STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT



### Kickoff Meetings

- Stakeholder Meeting held in Baton Rouge on December 4, 2018
- Public Meeting held at New Orleans District on January 10, 2019

### <u>3 NEPA Scoping Meetings</u>

- Denham Springs on April 24, 2019
- Clinton on April 24, 2019
- Prairieville on April 25, 2019
- Federal Register Notice of Intent published on April 2, 2019

### Progress Review Meetings

- Alternatives Analysis meeting held in Baton Rouge on June 19, 2019
- Tentatively Selected Plan meeting held in Baton Rouge on October 16, 2019
- Monthly stakeholder conference calls







# HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY



### LDOTD Amite River Model Obtained

- 1D model in the upper basin and 2D modeling for central and lower basin
- Limited detail for some smaller drainage features such as Creeks and Bayous
- Used the model as provided for existing conditions

# Additions to the Model (Base Conditions, Future with Project, Future without Project)

- Authorized USACE Construction Projects were Included: EBR and Comite
- Run-off rates in the model were changed based on projected increased development by parish
- Relative sea-level rise was included using the intermediate rate.
- Locally operated flood control measures were handled individually

# **Preliminary Planning Product**



# ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT



15 Alternatives

- 13 Presented at Public Scoping Meetings
- 2 added from resource agency feedback

Assembled with management measures using a combination of Concept/Formulation Strategies:

- Remove Water
- Hold Water
- Non-Structural
- Upper and Lower Basin
- Focused Structural

### Alternatives focus on 4 Influence Areas

- Lower Amite River Basin near Lake Maurepas
- Central Portion of Amite River Basin
- Upper Amite River Basin
- Upper and Lower Amite River Basin

# **Preliminary Planning Product**





Diversion Structures (Gravity Fed and Pump)

Channelization/Dredging

Channel Bank Gapping

Flood Gates

Dredging

Reduction of Flow Restrictions from Bridges

Small Dams on the Amite

Natural River Restoration (Restoring Meanders)

# **Preliminary Planning Product**



## FOCUSED ARRAY



| Measures                                       | Alternative Description   |
|--|---|
|  |   |
| No-Action                                      | Damages would continue into the future  |
| Darlington Dam                                 | 0.04 AEP (25 year) dam with an emergency spillway with the option of being dry or reduced wet dam as presented in the 1997 USACE report |
| Drv Dam on Sandv Creek                         | 0.01 AEP (100 year) Dam<br>Largest of the 4 tributaries   |
| Dry Dam on Darling, Lilley and<br>Bluff Creeks | 0.01 AEP (100 year) Dams<br>Combination of 3 dams have a smaller capacity than Sandy Creek Dam  |
| Nonstructural                                  | Nonstructural only plan. Aggregated based on 0.04 and 0.02 AEP with elevating and floodproofing of homes.                               |
|  | Droliminary Dlanning Droduct  |

# **Preliminary Planning Product**



### FOCUSED ARRAY BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS



### FINAL ARRAY BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS

|                              |                  |                  | Darlington  |                |                | Darling,          |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
|                              | Nonstructural 25 | Nonstructural 50 | Reduced Wet | Darlington Dry | Sandy Creek    | Bluff, and Lilley |
| Plan                         | Year Floodplain  | Year Floodplain  | Dam         | Dam            | Dry Dam        | Creek Dry Dams    |
| Total Project Costs          |                  |                  |             |                |                |                   |
| First Cost                   | \$1,335,282      | \$2,160,836      | \$1,788,530 | \$1,278,524    | \$270,977      | \$349,981         |
| Interest During Construction | \$4,739          | \$7,670          | \$105,269   | \$75,251       | \$7,819        | \$10,098          |
| Total Investment Cost        | \$1,340,022      | \$2,168,505      | \$1,893,800 | \$1,353,775    | \$278,796      | \$360,079         |
| Estimated Annual Costs       |                  |                  |             |                |                |                   |
| Annualized Project Costs     | \$50,851         | \$82,291         | \$71,866    | \$51,373       | \$10,580       | \$13,664          |
| Annual OMRR&R                | \$0              | \$0              | \$658       | \$439          | \$220          | \$659             |
| Total Annual Costs           | \$50,851         | \$82,291         | \$72,524    | \$51,813       | \$10,800       | \$14,323          |
| Average Annual Benefits      |                  |                  |             |                |                |                   |
| Total Annual Benefits        | \$53,547         | \$63,542         | \$65,066    | \$65,066       | \$13,649       | \$6,131           |
| Net Annual Benefits          | \$2,696          | -\$18,749        | -\$7,459    | \$13,253       | \$2,849        | -\$8,192          |
| Benefit to Cost Ratio        | 1.05             | 0.77             | 0.90        | 1.26           | 1.26           | 0.43              |
| NATIO                        |                  | CONOMI           | С           | (FY19          | Price Level, S | \$ 000's)         |
| DEVE                         | ELOPME           | NT PLA           | N (NED)     | Prelimi        | nary Planni    | ng Product        |



### PROBABILITY(AEP) **0.04 ANNUAL EXCEEDANCE DRY DARLINGTON** DAM

#### Legend





#### nary Planning Product CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED







### AMITE RIVER LOWERINGS WITH 0.04 AEP DRY DARLINGTON DAM

|                    | A           | B           | C                 | D            |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| AEP                | Grangeville | Brownsfield | Denham<br>Springs | Port Vincent |
| 0.04<br>(25 year)  | 8.8         | 7           | 6.5               | 2.7          |
| 0.02<br>(50 year)  | 10.1        | 7.6         | 6.8               | 2.9          |
| 0.01<br>(100 year) | 11.3        | 8.2         | 7                 | 2.8          |

All lowerings in feet

#### Legend

Structures within the 25 Year floodplain with residual risk Darlington Dam 25 Year Flood Pool (173)\* Probable Max. Flood (195.3)\* 25 Year Floodplain Densely Populated Places Parish Boundaries Amite Study Area 20190125 \*Based on the 1997 report









### Final Array NED Plan: Darlington Dry Dam 0.04 AEP

TSP: Final Array NED Plan + Nonstructural (to address residual risk)

### Nonstructural:

#### 1. NonPhysical

- -Emergency Action Plan
- -Flood Warning System
- -Floodplain Management Plans
- 2. Physical

-Residential and nonresidential structures in 0.04 AEP Floodplain

• May be eligible for residential elevations, non-residential floodproofing, and acquisitions

# **Preliminary Planning Product**



# **TSP SELECTION-NED PLAN**



### **Dry Darlington Dam Combined with Nonstructural Measures**

-0.04 AEP (25 year) Dam includes an emergency spillway. -Current nonstructural optimization is at the 0.04 AEP year floodplain.

| Item  | Expected Annual<br>Benefits and<br>Costs |  |
|---|--|--|
| Damage Category                                   |  |  |
| Structure, Contents, Vehicles, and Debris Removal | \$109,065                                |  |
| Total Benefits                                    | \$109,065                                |  |
| Structural First Costs                            | \$1,278,524                              |  |
| Nonstructural First Costs                         | \$1,024,198                              |  |
| Total First Costs                                 | \$2,302,722                              |  |
| Interest During Construction                      | \$75,386                                 |  |
| Annual Operation & Maintenance Costs              | \$439                                    |  |
| Total Annual Costs                                | \$88,527                                 |  |
| B/C Ratio   | 1.23                                     |  |
| Expected Annual Net Benefits                      | \$20,539                                 |  |

Preliminary Planning Product

(FY19, \$1,000's, 2.75% Discount Rate)

-Dry Darlington Dam scale will be optimized during the feasibility study design

-Targeted nonstructural plan to be refined with the optimized dam as the new base condition



### TENTATIVELY SELECTED PLAN – DRY DARLINGTON DAM

#### Legend

- Structures within the 25 Year floodplain with residual risk
- Darlington Dam

25 Year Flood Pool (173)\*

Probable Max. Flood (195.3)\*

- 25 Year Floodplain
- Densely Populated Places
- Parish Boundaries
- Amite Study Area 20190125
  - \*Based on the 1997 report







### NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) COMPLIANCE SUMMARY



### <u>Cultural</u>

- Initiated consultation under Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA) with State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs)
- Developing a Programmatic Agreement in consultation with stakeholders during the feasibility study to fulfill CEMVN's NHPA responsibilities during the Pre-Construction, Engineering and Design (PED) phase

### **Environmental**

- Scenic Rivers
- Threatened, Endangered, and Protected Species
- -Borrow Source
- -Mitigation Plan
- Environmental Justice

# **Preliminary Planning Product**



### **MILESTONE SCHEDULE**



### **Preliminary Planning Product**

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

21





Q: Is the dam going to protect all of the areas that were impacted by the 2016 flood? What about the lower part of the basin?

A: First and foremost, this study did not specifically model the 2016 event which was greater than a 500 year event. The model used a range of various AEP (from a 2 year event up to a 500 year event) to inform existing conditions and help evaluate study alternatives.

Secondly, no areas are ever fully protected. There will always be residual risk. Communities further downstream will see less significant lowerings than the upstream locations nearest the dam, but the nonstructural component of the project is intended to help address residual risk in the lower basin.

# **Preliminary Planning Product**





**Q: Will I have another chance to provide feedback?** 

A: The 45-day comment period is the time for public feedback on the draft report. However, if there are significant changes to the Tentatively Selected Plan in the future, additional opportunities for public comment would be considered.

# **Preliminary Planning Product**





**Q: How were the public meeting locations chosen?** 

A: We held public meetings in these communities in April 2019 during the scoping phase of the study and wanted to return to the same communities. The public meetings aren't just for the town where the meeting is located, but is for surrounding communities as well. This is a basin wide study and meetings were held throughout the study area.

# **Preliminary Planning Product**





**Q:** Am I located within the dam and reservoir footprint?

A: The footprint of the dam and reservoir is continuing to be refined as the design is optimized. More information will be available in the final report.

# **Preliminary Planning Product**





**Q:** Am I part of the nonstructural plan?

A: Targeted nonstructural is also being refined as we determine the residual risk of the Darlington Dam in place. More information will be available in the final report.

# **Preliminary Planning Product**





**Q: When is this project going to start?** 

A: We are far from beginning any work as we are still in the study process. This study is anticipated to be completed in late 2021. Once the study is complete, separate appropriations (funding) from congress are needed to begin construction following a 3-5 year design period. Right now, we are looking to see if there is the potential for a project.

# **Preliminary Planning Product**



# HOW TO COMMENT



### Send your comments by January 13, 2020

### AmiteFS@usace.army.mil or

Mail to: CEMVN-PMR 7400 Leake Avenue Room 331 New Orleans, LA 70118

#### Amite River and Tributaries Study Website:

https://www.mvn.usace.army.mil/Amite-River-and-Tributaries/



Mississippi River Valley Division, Jonal Planning and Environment Division South

Amite River and Tributaries East of the Mississippi River, Louisiana



Draft Integrated Feasibility Study with Environmental Impact Statement

November 2019